RECEIVED

09 SEP 16 PM 1: 06

HEARINGS CLERK EPA -- REGION 10



BEFORE THE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

In the Matter of:) DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197
STERLING MINING COMPANY near Kellogg, Idaho) CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER
Respondent)

I. STATUTORY AUTHORITY

- 1.1. This Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B).
- 1.2. The Administrator has delegated the authority to issue the Final Order contained in Part V of this CAFO to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 10, who in turn has redelegated this authority to the Regional Judicial Officer.
- 1.3. Pursuant to Sections 309(g)(1) and 309(g)(2)(B) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(g)(1) and 1319(g)(2)(B), and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R. Part 22, EPA hereby issues, and Sterling Mining Company (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent") hereby agrees to issuance of, the Final Order contained in Part V of this CAFO.

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 1 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.45(b), issuance of this CAFO

2.1.

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

2.2. Part III of this CAFO contains a concise statement of the statutory and factual basis for the alleged violations of the CWA. III. ALLEGATIONS

constitutes commencement of this proceeding, which will conclude when the Final Order

contained in Part V of this CAFO becomes effective.

- Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the discharge of 3.1. pollutants into waters of the United States by any person, except as authorized by a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. Each discharge of pollutants from a point source that is not authorized by such a permit constitutes a violation of Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).
- 3.2. Section 502(12) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(12), defines "discharge of a pollutant" to include "any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source." Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), defines "navigable waters" as "waters of the United States." 40 C.F.R. § 122.2 defines "waters of the United States" to include waters that are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce; all interstate waters; and tributaries to those waters.
- 3.3. Section 502(6) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6), defines "pollutant" to include, inter alia, dredged spoil, rock, sand, biological materials, and industrial waste.
- 3.4. Section 309(g)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), authorizes EPA to assess administrative penalties against any person who has violated Section 301 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, or a condition of an NPDES permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 2 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037

1

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 3 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

8

10

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 4 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

B. NPDES Permit Violations

- 3.13. The Facility is authorized to discharge mine drainage, tailings process wastewater, storm water, cooling water, and sanitary wastewater from Outfall 001 into the South Fork Coeur d'Alene River ("River") pursuant to the conditions and limitations set forth in NPDES Permit No. ID-000006-0.
 - 3.14. Outfall 001 is a "point source" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 3.15. Part I.A.1 of the Permit establishes effluent limits for discharges from Outfall 001. These effluent limits include, but are not limited to, manganese, total suspended solids ("TSS"), and iron.
- 3.16. When a permittee exceeds a monthly average effluent limit, the permittee is deemed to be in violation of the effluent limits each of the days of the month in which the violation occurred. When a permittee exceeds a maximum daily effluent limit, the exceedance is counted as one violation.
- 3.17. In April 2007, Respondent discharged effluent from Outfall 001 a total of six days. In November 2007, Respondent discharged effluent from Outfall 001 a total of sixteen days. In December 2007, Respondent discharged effluent from Outfall 001 a total of sixteen days. In January 2008, Respondent discharged effluent from Outfall 001 a total of six days.
- 3.18. Between April 2007 and September 2008, the Facility had 82 violations of the Permit. The violations are set forth in Paragraphs 3.19-3.23, below.
- 3.19. Section I.A.1 of the Permit contains a monthly average concentration effluent limit for manganese of 0.503 mg/l at Outfall 001. Between April 2007 and September 2008, Respondent violated the monthly average concentration effluent limit for manganese at Outfall 001 for a total of four months. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
April 2007	6
November 2007	16
December 2007	16
January 2008	6

- 3.20. Section I.A.1 of the Permit contains a monthly average mass effluent limit for manganese of 11.7 lb/day at Outfall 001. Between April 2007 and September 2008, Respondent violated the monthly average mass effluent limit for manganese at Outfall 001 in April 2007, constituting six violations.
- 3.21. Section I.A of the Permit contains a daily maximum concentration effluent limit for manganese of 0.866 mg/L at Outfall 001. Between April 2007 and September 2008, Respondent violated the daily maximum concentration effluent limit for manganese at Outfall 001 for a total of 27 days, constituting 27 violations. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
November 3, 2007	J
November 4, 2007	1
November 5, 2007	1
November 6, 2007	l
November 8, 2007	Ţ
November 9, 2007	1
November 19, 2007	1
November 21, 2007	<u> </u>
November 27, 2007	
November 28, 2007	<u> </u>
December 4, 2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
December 6, 2007	1
December 10, 2007	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 5 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
December 11, 2007	
December 17, 2007	1
December 18, 2007]
December 19, 2007	j
December 20, 2007	
December 26, 2007	j
December 27, 2007	**************************************
December 28, 2007	· .i.
January 4, 2008	. I.
January 5, 2008)
January 6, 2008	**************************************
January 7, 2008	
January 8, 2008	1
January 9, 2008	1

3.22. Section I.A.1 of the Permit contains a daily maximum concentration effluent limit for TSS of 31.6 mg/L at Outfall 001. Between April 2007 and September 2008, Respondent violated the daily maximum concentration effluent limit for TSS at Outfall 001 for a total of four days, constituting four violations. The violations are as follows:

Month of Violation	Number of Violations
November 19, 2007	· ‡
December 11, 2007	1
January 5, 2008	1
September 9, 2008	<u>l</u>

3.23. Section I.A.1 of the Permit contains a daily maximum concentration effluent limit for iron of 2 mg/l at Outfall 001. Between April 2007 and September 2008, Respondent violated the daily maximum concentration effluent limit for iron at Outfall 001 on November 19, 2007, constituting one violation.

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 6 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

3.24. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319 (g)(2)(B) and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for civil penalties not to exceed \$11,000 per day for each day during which the violations alleged above continued, up to a maximum of \$177,500.

IV. CONSENT AGREEMENT

- Respondent stipulates that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter alleged herein.
- 4.2. As required under Section 309(g)(3) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), EPA has taken into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the alleged violations as well as Respondent's economic benefit of noncompliance, ability to pay, and other relevant factors. After considering all of these factors, EPA has determined and Respondent agrees that an appropriate penalty to settle this action is in the amount of \$50,000.
- Respondent neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained in Part III of this CAFO.
- 4.4. Respondent consents to issuance of the Final Order set forth in Part V, below, and agrees to pay the total civil penalty set forth in Paragraph 4.2, above, within 30 days of the effective date of the Final Order.
- 4.5. EPA acknowledges that Respondent is presently a debtor-in-possession in a Chapter 11 bankruptcy case at 09-20178-TLM, United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Idaho. Payment of the agreed civil penalty in Paragraph 4.2 above pursuant to the terms of this Consent Agreement is expressly conditioned on U.S. Bankruptcy Court approval. Approval of such payment, among other matters, is presently before the Court through Sterling's Motion for Sterling to Assume Sunshine Lease Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §365(a) and to Cure Defaults [Docket #31] and Final Motion for Approval of Post-Petition Financing Agreement [Docket #143]. By entering into this CAFO, Respondent is obligated to use its best efforts to seek U.S. Bankruptcy

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 7 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

(206) 553-1037

- If Respondent fails to pay'the penalty assessed by this CAFO in full by the due 4.8. date set forth in Paragraph 4.4, above, the entire unpaid balance of penalty and accrued interest shall become immediately due and owing. If Respondent fails to pay the penalty assessed, Respondent may be subject to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty under the CWA. together with interest, fees, costs, and additional penalties described below. In any collection action, the validity, amount, and appropriateness of the penalty amount shall not be subject to review.
- 4.9. If Respondent fails to pay any portion of the penalty assessed by this CAFO in full by the due date set forth in Paragraph 4.4, above, Respondent shall be responsible for payment of the amounts described below:
 - 4.9.1. Interest. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. & (319(g)(9), any unpaid portion of the assessed penalty shall bear interest at a rate established by the Secretary of Treasury pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717(a)(1) from the effective date of the Final Order set forth in Part V below, provided, however, that no interest shall be payable on any portion of the assessed penalty that is paid within thirty days of the effective date of the Final Order.
 - 4.9.2. Attorneys Fees, Collection Costs, Nonpayment Penalty. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(9), if Respondent fails to pay on a timely basis the amount of the penalty set forth in Paragraph 4.2, above, Respondent shall pay (in addition to any assessed penalty and interest) attorneys fees and costs for collection proceedings and a quarterly nonpayment penalty for each quarter during which such failure to pay persists. Such nonpayment penalty shall be in an amount equal to twenty percent of the aggregate amount of Respondent's penalties and nonpayment penalties that are unpaid as of the beginning of such quarter.

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 9 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037

1

*

V. FINAL ORDER

- 5.1. The terms of the foregoing Consent Agreement are hereby ratified and incorporated by reference into this Final Order. Respondent is hereby ordered to comply with the foregoing terms of the settlement.
- 5.2. This CAFO constitutes a settlement by EPA of all claims for civil penalties pursuant to the CWA for the violations alleged in Part III, above. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.31(a), nothing in this CAFO shall affect the right of EPA or the United States to pursue appropriate injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions for any violations of law. This CAFO does not waive, extinguish, or otherwise affect Respondent's obligations to comply with all applicable provisions of the CWA, applicable CWA regulations, and/or any permits issued thereunder.
- 5.3. In accordance with Section 309(g)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.38(b), the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality has been given the opportunity to consult with EPA regarding the assessment of the administrative civil penalty against Respondent.
- 5.4. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(4)(A) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(A), EPA has published public notice of its intent to assess an administrative penalty against Respondent and has invited public comment in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.45. More than forty days have clapsed since the issuance of this public notice, and EPA has received no petition to set aside the Consent Agreement contained herein.

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 11 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037

į

This Final Order shall become effective upon filing. SO ORDERED this 16 day of September THOMAS M. JAHNKE Regional Judicial Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER - 12 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2009-0197 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900

Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-1037

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the original of the attached CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER in In the Matter of: Sterling Mining Company, DOCKET NO.: CWA-10-2009-0197 was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk on September 16, 2009.

On September 16, 2009 the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the document was delivered to:

Jennifer Byrne, Esquire
US Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue, ORC-158
Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101

Further, the undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the aforementioned document was placed in the United States mail certified/return receipt on September 16, 2009, to:

Sterling Mining Company Sunshine Mine and Mill P.O. Box 117 . Kellogg, ID 83837

DATED this 16th day of September 2009.

Carol Kennedy

Regional Hearing Clerk

EPA Region 10